1 The Honorable Ronald B. Leighton 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT TACOMA MARK SWALLEY, an individual, Case No. 17-05513 RBL 9 Plaintiff, **DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND** 10 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** 11 v. 12 RICHARD V. SPENCER, Secretary of the Department of Navy, <sup>1</sup> 13 14 Defendant. 15 16 COMES NOW defendant Richard V. Spencer, Secretary of the Department of Navy, by 17 and through his attorneys, Annette L. Hayes, United States Attorney for the Western District of 18 Washington, and Priscilla T. Chan, Assistant United States Attorney for said District, and states 19 as follows in answer to plaintiff Swalley's Complaint for damages: 20 21 I. **PARTIES** 22 1. Answering the first sentence of paragraph 1, Defendant lacks sufficient 23 knowledge or information to form a belief as to Plaintiff's residence and therefore denies the 24 allegations therein. Answering the second sentence of paragraph 1, Defendant admits the 25 26 <sup>1</sup> On August 3, 2017, Richard V. Spencer became the 76th United States Secretary of the Navy. Defendant thus 27 substitutes Secretary Spencer in place of former Acting Secretary Stackley pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d)(1). ANSWER (17-05513 RBL) - 1 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 28

700 STEWART STREET, SUITE 5220 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 (206) 553-7970

1	allegations therein.			
2	2.	Defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 2.		
3		II. JURISDICTION AND VE	ENUE	
4	3.	Paragraph 3 is a statement of jurisdiction, to which	n no response is required. To	
5	the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that jurisdiction is proper based on federal			
6	question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1331.			
7	4.	Paragraph 4 is a statement of venue, to which no r	esponse is required. To the	
8	extent a response is required, Defendant admits that venue is proper in the Western District of			
9	Washington.			
10	5.	Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or	deny the truth of the	
11	allegations of paragraph 5 and, on that basis, denies the allegations therein.			
12	III. <u>FACTS</u>			
13	6.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 6 as	stated. Defendant admits that	
14	during April 2016, Plaintiff was employed as an engineering manager, acting as the Continuous			
15	Improvement Manager, and was not permanently placed into the position of Continuous			
16	Improvement Manager until September 2016. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies			
17	each and eve	ry allegation of paragraph 6.		
18	7.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 7.		
19	8.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 8.		
20	9.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 9.		
21	10.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 10.		
22	11.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 11.		
23	12.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 12.		
24	13.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 13.		
25	14.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 14.		
26	15.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 15.		
27	ANOWER 45	1.05512 PDI )	Thirden Charge Ambonney	
28	ANSWER (17-05513 RBL) – 2 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 STEWART STREET, SLITE 5220		UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 STEWART STREET, SUITE 5220	

1	16.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 16.
2	17.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 17.
3	18.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 18.
4	19.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 19.
5	20.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.
6		20.1 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.1
7		20.2 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.2
8		20.3 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.3
9		20.4 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.4
10		20.5 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.5
11		20.6 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.6
12		20.7 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.7
13		20.8 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.8
14		20.9 Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 20.9
15	21.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 21.
16	22.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 22.
17	23.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 23.
18	24.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 24.
19	25.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 25.
20	26.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 26.
21	27.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 27.
22	28.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 28.
23	29.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 29.
24	30.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 30.
25	31.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 31.
26	32.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 32.
27		

28

6

11

1213

1415

16 17

18 19

20

21

23

24

22

25

26

27

28

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff's prayer for relief does not require an answer, but to the extent one is required,

Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief from Defendant.

## AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES

Without assuming any burden of proof that he would not otherwise bear, Defendant asserts the following affirmative and other defenses in response to Plaintiff's Complaint:

- 1. Plaintiff's Complaint, either in whole or in part, fails to state a claim for which relief may be granted.
  - 2. The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over some or all of Plaintiff's claims.
- 3. Plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies for some or all of his claims.
- 4. Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies in a timely fashion as to some or all of his claims.
- 5. Plaintiff failed to state a prima facie case of retaliation and hostile work environment.
- 6. Defendant's actions, about which Plaintiff now complains, were taken in a fair, reasonable, and lawful manner under the circumstances then existing.
- 7. Defendant's actions, about which Plaintiff now complains, were a just and proper exercise of management discretion, undertaken for fair and honest reasons in good faith under the circumstances then existing.
  - 8. There is no basis for imputing liability to the Defendant.
- 9. The Defendant exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct any harassing behavior. Defendant exercised reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct any workplace discrimination, but Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take advantage of the corrective or complaint procedures offered by Defendant.
  - 10. Plaintiff has no damages. To the extent damages exist, Plaintiff failed to mitigate

1	damages.				
2	11. Plaintiff may not recover punitive damages from Defendant.				
3	12. Plaintiff may not recover compensatory damages from Defendant under the Age				
4	Discrimination in Employment Act.				
5	13. Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer to state additional defenses,				
6	and to delete or withdraw defenses, as may become apparent during the course of discovery.				
7	WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint and alleging certain				
8	affirmative and other defenses, Defendant prays that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with				
9	prejudice, that Plaintiff take nothing by his Complaint, and that the Court grants any further relief				
10	it deems just and equitable.				
11					
12	DATED this 8th day of September, 2017.				
13					
14	Respectfully submitted,				
15	ANNETTE L. HAYES United States Attorney				
16					
17	s/ Priscilla T. Chan PRISCILLA T. CHAN, WSBA #28533				
18	Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office				
19	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220				
20	Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 Phone: (206) 553-7970				
21	Email: Priscilla.Chan@usdoj.gov				
22	Attorneys for Defendant Spencer				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	ANSWER (17-05513 RBL) – 5  UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 STEWART STREET SUITE 5220				

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of the United States Attorney's Office for the Western				
3	District of Washington, and that I am of such age and discretion as to be competent to serve papers;				
4	I further certify that on September 8, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing document with				
5	the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the				
6	following CM/ECF participant(s):				
7	Jacob M. Downs: jdowns@corrdowns.com				
8	Joseph P. Corr: <u>jcorr@corrdowns.com</u>				
9	I further certify that on September 8, 2017, I mailed by United States Postal Service the				
10	foregoing document to the following non-CM/ECF participant(s)/CM/ECF participant(s), addressed				
11	as follows:				
12	-0-				
13	Dated this 8th day of September, 2017.				
14					
15	<u>s/ Marciano Quinonez</u> MARCIANO QUINONEZ				
16	Legal Assistant to Priscilla T. Chan United States Attorney's Office				
17	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271				
18	Phone: (206) 553-7970				
19	Email: Marciano.Quinonez-Cheeks@usdoj.gov				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY				